

ATTY. Özge Özmen Korkut

**MUTUAL AGREEMENT
PROCEDURE IN INTERNATIONAL
TAXATION THE NETHERLANDS
AND GERMANY CASES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	VII
PREFACE.....	IX
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XVII
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XIX

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

CHAPTER 2 FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF INTERNATIONAL TAXATION AND TAX TREATIES

2.1.The Relationship Between Tax Law and International Law	6
2.2.International Taxation.....	9
2.2.1. Source Principle.....	12
2.2.2. Residence Principle.....	13
2.2.3. Nationality Principle.....	15
2.2.4. The Approach of Turkish Tax Legislation to the Subject	16
2.2.4.1. Income Tax Law	16
2.2.4.1.1. Full Tax Liability	16
2.2.4.1.2. Limited Taxpayer Status	18
2.2.4.2. Corporate Tax Law	19
2.2.4.2.1. Full Taxpayer Status	19

2.2.4.2.2. Limited Taxpayer Status	19
2.3. International Tax Treaties.....	21
2.3.1. Conclusion of International Agreements	24
2.3.1.1. From the Perspective of International Law.....	24
2.3.1.2. From the Perspective of National Law (Turkish Legislation)	26
2.3.2. Termination of International Agreements.....	28
2.3.2.1. From the Perspective of International Law.....	28
2.3.2.2. From the Perspective of National Law (Turkish Legislation)	30
2.4. Double Taxation Avoidance Agreements	32
2.4.1. The Concept of Double Taxation	32
2.4.1.1. Elements of International Double Taxation	36
2.4.1.2. Types of International Legal Double Taxation	37
2.4.1.2.1. Horizontal-Vertical-Cross Double Taxation	37
2.4.1.2.2. Actual and Apparent Double Taxation.....	38
2.4.1.2.3. Personal-Non-Personal-Mixed Double Taxation	38
2.4.2. National Regulations on Preventing Double Taxation.....	39
2.4.2.1. Deduction Method	40
2.4.2.2. Set-Off Method.....	40
2.4.2.3. Exemption Method.....	42
2.5. International Agreement Models.....	44
2.5.1. The Concept of Model Agreement.....	44
2.5.2. OECD Model.....	46
2.5.3. UN Model.....	49
2.5.4. Turkish Model.....	50
2.5.5. Bilateral Tax Treaties.....	52
2.5.5.1. Turkey – Germany Agreement.....	56
2.5.5.2. Turkey – Netherlands Agreement	57

2.5.6. Regulations on the Mutual Agreement Procedure in Turkish Domestic Law	57
---	----

CHAPTER 3

MUTUAL AGREEMENT PROCEDURE

3.1. Enhancing the Effectiveness of the Mutual Agreement Procedure	61
3.1.1. In General.....	61
3.1.1.1. Purpose and Scope of MEMAP	63
3.1.1.2. Content of MEMAP and Best Practices	63
3.1.1.3. Importance and Impact of MEMAP	65
3.1.2. BEPS Action Plan 14 and 15	66
3.1.2.1. BEPS Action Plan 14	67
3.1.2.1.1. Minimum Standards and Best Practices	68
3.1.2.1.2. Monitoring Mechanism.....	69
3.1.2.1.3. Important Documents for the Implementation of the Action Plan.....	69
3.1.2.2. BEPS Action Plan 15: Multilateral Convention (MLI)	69
3.2. Application of the Mutual Agreement Procedure	71
3.2.1. In General.....	71
3.2.2. Application Procedure.....	74
3.2.2.1. Application Procedure According to Tax Model Agreements.....	74
3.2.2.1.1. OECD Model	74
3.2.2.1.2. UN Model	77
3.2.2.2. Application Procedure According to Bilateral Tax Treaties	79
3.2.2.2.1. Turkey-Germany Agreement	79
3.2.2.2.2. Turkey-Netherlands Agreement.....	83

3.2.2.3. Application Procedure According to Tax Procedure Law Provisions	85
3.2.3. Application Period	87
3.2.3.1. Application Period According to Tax Model Agreements.....	87
3.2.3.1.1. OECD Model	87
3.2.3.1.2. UN Model	91
3.2.3.2. Application Period According to Bilateral Tax Treaties	92
3.2.3.3. Application Period According to Tax Procedure Law Provisions.....	94
3.3.Mutual Agreement Procedure and Litigation	97
3.3.1. In General.....	97
3.3.2. The Impact of Applying for the Mutual Agreement Procedure on Litigation	97
3.3.2.1. The Impact of Applying for the Mutual Agreement Procedure on Litigation According to Tax Model Agreements	98
3.3.2.1.1. OECD Model	98
3.3.2.1.2. UN Model	100
3.3.2.2. The Impact of Applying for the Mutual Agreement Procedure on Litigation According to Tax Treaties.....	101
3.3.2.3. The Impact of Applying for the Mutual Agreement Procedure on Litigation According to the Tax Procedure Law.....	101
3.1.Finalization of the Mutual Agreement Procedure Application	104
3.3.3. In General.....	104
3.3.4. Finalization of the Mutual Agreement Procedure Application According to Tax Model Agreements	105
3.3.4.1. OECD Model.....	105
3.3.4.2. UN Model.....	106

3.3.5. Finalization of the Mutual Agreement Procedure	
Application According to Bilateral Tax Treaties.....	110
3.3.5.1. Turkey-Germany Agreement.....	110
3.3.5.2. Turkey-Netherlands Agreement	111
3.3.6. Finalization of the Mutual Agreement Procedure	
Application According to the Provisions of the Tax	
Procedure Law	112
3.1. Lawsuits Filed and Requests for Conciliation	
Before Applying for the Mutual Agreement	
Procedure.....	114
3.3.7. Lawsuits Filed and Requests for Conciliation Before	
Applying According to Tax Model Agreements.....	114
3.3.7.1. OECD Model.....	114
3.3.7.2. UN Model.....	115
3.3.8. Lawsuits Filed and Requests for Conciliation Before	
Applying According to Bilateral Tax Treaties	116
3.3.9. Lawsuits Filed and Requests for Conciliation Before	
Applying According to the Provisions of the Tax	
Procedure Law	118
3.4. Other Issues Related to the Mutual Agreement Procedure.....	119
3.4.1. In General.....	119
3.4.2. Other Issues According to Tax Model Agreements.....	120
3.4.3. International Statistics on the Mutual Agreement Procedure.	123
3.4.4. Other Issues According to Bilateral Tax Treaties	126
3.4.5. Other Issues According to the Provisions of the Tax	
Procedure Law	127
3.4.6. Comparison of the Mutual Agreement Procedure and	
Conciliation in the Tax Procedure Law	128
3.4.7. Criticisms of the Mutual Agreement Procedure	130
3.4.7.1. General Criticisms	130
3.4.7.2. Statute of Limitations	131
3.4.7.3. Duration of Mutual Agreement Procedures	132

3.4.7.4. Participation of the Taxpayer	133
3.4.7.5. Secondary Assessments	133
3.5. Corresponding Adjustments	134
3.6. Arbitration in the Mutual Agreement Procedure	139
3.6.1. In General.....	139
3.6.2. Arbitration According to Tax Treaties.....	140
3.6.2.1. OECD Model.....	140
3.6.2.2. UN Model.....	142
3.6.3. Arbitration in Terms of Turkish Legislation	144
 REFERENCES.....	151

**CHAPTER 4
CONCLUSION**